JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Naiman Mapp Philadelphia, PA 19129			DEFENDANTS Community Council Health 4900 Wyalusing Ave					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia			Philadelphia, PA 19131 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAIN	HIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT		<i>LAINTIFF CASES O</i> ON CASES, USE T IVOLVED.		F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephon Scott K. Johnson , THE LAW OFFICES (2 Penn Center, 1500 JFK Blvd Suite 124 (267) 546-0124	OF ERIC A. SHORE	2	Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an	"X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in C	ne Box f	or Plaintif
	and the contraction of the contr			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr		Defenda PTF 4	nt) DEF □ 4
2 U.S. Government	Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2 🗆 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		□ 5·	□ 5
			n or Subject of a eign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One	e Box Only) TORTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of	of Suit Code Des		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 248 Employment □ 448 Education	JURY PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER PROP	TY	Drair Labor Standards Other LABOR Drair Labor Standards Act Dabor/Management Relations Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note The Immigration Other Immigration Other Immigration Other Immigration Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPEF 820 Copy 830 Paten 835 Paten 840 Trade SOCIAL 861 HIA 4 862 Black 863 DIWG 864 SSID 865 RSI G 870 Taxes 870 Taxes 67 De 871 IRS 26 Us	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights tt - Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY (1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) LUTAX SUITS 6 (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	375 False Cla 376 Qui Tam 3729(a)) 400 State Rea 410 Antitrus 430 Banks and 450 Commerc 460 Deportati 470 Racketeer Corrupt C 480 Consume 490 Cable/Sat 850 Securities Exchange 890 Other Sta 891 Agricultu 893 Environm 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitratio 899 Administr Act/Revie Agency D 950 Constituti State State	ms Act (31 USC) poportion I Banking c on I Influenc rganizati Credit TV //Commode autory Ac ral Acts ental Matto f Inform n attive Pro w or App ecision onality of	ed and ons dities/ tions ters ation cedure
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original □ 2 Removed from State Court	□ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	I Tallole	r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	- I	Aultidist itigation irect Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION 42 U.S.C.A	ermination	J.S.C. §	o not cite jurisdictional state 2601 et seq					
10 To	F THIS IS A CLASS ACTION RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ 150,000.00		HECK YES only DRY DEMAND:		omplain □No	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instruction	ons): JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 5/9/18	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY O	FRECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

Case 2:18-cv-01947-WB Document 1 Filed 05/09/18 Page 2 of 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Philadelphia, PA 19129 Address of Plaintiff: Address of Defendant: 4900 Wyalusing Ave, Philadelphia, PA 19131 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 4900 Wyalusing Ave, Philadelphia, PA 19131 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? No Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Note 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes□ CIVIL: (Place / in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. D FELA 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 3.

Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6.

Labor-Management Relations 6. D Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8.

Habeas Corpus 8. D Products Liability - Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Scott K. Johnson , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. PA 85024 NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: PA 85024 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Naimah Mapp

CIVIL ACTION

v.		:			
Community Counc System, Inc.	il Health	: :	NO.		
In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh the plaintiff and all other partito which that defendant believer	Management a copy on all dent that a defall, with its finctions, a Case Ma	Track Desig efendants. (Sendant does it st appearance inagement Tra	nation Form in all civil ee § 1:03 of the plan sen not agree with the plai e, submit to the clerk o ack Designation Form	cases at the time forth on the reventiff regarding fourt and serv	erse said e on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING C	CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	¥	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					()
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					()
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)					()
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.					(4)
5 9 1 8 Date	Scott K. Attorney-		Naimah N Attorney		
(267) 546-0124	(215) 94		·	icshore.com	
Telephone	FAX Nur	nber	E-Mail Ac	ldress	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NAIMAH MAPP,	:		
Plaintiff,	:	JURY DEMANDED	
v.	: :	Civil Action No.:	
COMMUNITY COUNCIL HEALTH SYSTEM, INC.,	:	•	*
Defendant.	:		

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

I. PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- 1. Plaintiff, Naimah Mapp ("Plaintiff" or "Mapp") is an adult individual residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 2. Defendant, Community Council Health System, Inc. ("Defendant" or "CCHS") is a domestic non-profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a principal place of business at 4900 Wyalusing Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendant employed Plaintiff at its Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, address as set forth above and qualified as Plaintiff's employer under the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA").

- 4. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. (See Exhibit A, a true and correct copy of a dismissal and notice of rights issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.)
- 5. This action is instituted pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act, the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and applicable federal and state law.
 - 6. Jurisdiction is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 7. Supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claims is conferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendant conducts business in this district, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff was working for Defendant in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania at the time of the illegal actions by Defendant as set forth herein.

II. FACTS

- 9. On March 1, 2013, Defendant CCHS hired Plaintiff Ms. Mapp as a Site Supervisor at its Wyalusing location in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 10. On March 13, 2017, Mapp broke her right ankle in an injury that occurred outside of work.
- 11. On March 14, 2017, the day following her injury, Ms. Mapp called and notified her supervisor, Ms. Natasha Jones, that she broke her ankle and would be out of work.

- 12. Ms. Jones told Ms. Mapp to access and to complete CCHS's applications for FMLA leave and company-sponsored short-term disability insurance.
- 13. Ms. Mapp completed the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA") leave and disability insurance applications and had her physician sign them on March 31, 2017.
- 14. Ms. Mapp's physician ordered her off of work for three to four months, starting March 29, 2017, to allow time for her injured right ankle to heal.
- 15. On April 3, 2017, Ms. Mapp had surgery on her right ankle where the surgeon placed two plates and screws in her joint.
- 16. On the same day as her surgery, Ms. Mapp submitted additional FMLA leave paperwork to Ms. Tasha Toomer, CCHS's Human Resources Representative.
- On June 1, 2017, Ms. Mapp had a follow-up visit with her surgeon and her cast was taken off, and she received an orthopedic boot. During that visit, Ms. Mapp's surgeon gave her a note stating that she should not return to in-classroom work until after the surgeon could re-evaluate her ankle for its progress in healing. The re-evaluation would occur for another six weeks (*i.e.*, until approximately the week of July 17, 2017). During this six week period, Ms. Mapp was available to work, but restricted from performing in-classroom work.
- 18. On or about June 5, 2017, Ms. Mapp telephoned Ms. Jones and told her that her surgeon had extended her disability for approximately six more weeks, until around July 17, 2018. Ms. Jones responded that the six-week extension was acceptable to her. Also, Ms. Mapp told Ms. Jones that she would like to return to work to perform light-duty work assignments at CCHS's headquarters (*i.e.*, a non-classroom assignment).

- 19. Ms. Jones acknowledged her request for a light-duty job but did not, in fact, provide Ms. Mapp with any light-duty assignment work.
- 20. On June 6, 2017, Ms. Mapp submitted her June 1, 2017, doctor's note during an in-person visit to Ms. Tommer, CCHS's Human Resources Representative.

 Ms. Toomer took Ms. Mapp's medical documentation from her, and she left the CCHS's human resources office without further discussion.
- 21. On July 17, 2017. Ms. Mapp had a follow-up visit with her surgeon and was released her to return to work without any restrictions.
- 22. On August 15, 2017, Ms. Mapp visited CCHS's human resources office to meet with again with Ms. Toomer about the upcoming school year. At this meeting, Ms. Toomer informed Ms. Mapp that CCHS's had terminated her employment on June 30, 2017. Ms. Toomer told Ms. Mapp that the reason for her termination was her alleged "lack of communication about her disability status."
- 23. The meeting on August 15, 2017, was the first time that Ms. Mapp learned that CCHS's terminated her employment terminated on June 30, 2017. Upon information and belief, at no point had CCHS's sent Ms. Mapp any communications indicating that it was terminating her employment or that her employment was in jeopardy for an alleged "lack of communication about her disability status."
- 24. Ms. Mapp had conscientiously endeavored to keep CCHS informed of her recovery from the right ankle injury. In fact, she spoke on the phone with her supervisor on June 1, 2017, and met in-person with the human resources representative on June 6, 2017. In spite of these efforts, CCHS's terminated her employment on June 30, 2017, without explanation, warning, or notification of an alleged "lack of communication."

- 25. During her August 15, 2017, meeting with Ms. Toomer, Ms. Mapp told Ms. Toomer that she had been in constant communication with her supervisor, Ms. Jones, in regards to her disability status. Nevertheless, CCHS refused to rescind its termination of Ms. Mapp's employment.
- 26. On March 1, 2013, Defendant CCHS hired Plaintiff Ms. Mapp as a Site Supervisor at its Wyalusing location in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 27. On March 13, 2017, Mapp broke her right ankle in an injury that occurred outside of work.
- 28. On March 14, 2017, the day following her injury, Ms. Mapp called and notified her supervisor, Ms. Natasha Jones, that she broke her ankle and would be out of work.
- 29. Ms. Jones told Ms. Mapp to access and to complete CCHS's applications for FMLA leave and company-sponsored short-term disability insurance.
- 30. Ms. Mapp completed the FMLA leave and disability insurance applications and had her physician sign them on March 31, 2017.
- 31. Ms. Mapp's physician ordered her off of work for three to four months, starting March 29, 2017, to allow time for her injured right ankle to heal.
- 32. On April 3, 2017, Ms. Mapp had surgery on her right ankle and she received two plates and screws placed in her ankle.
- 33. On the same day as her surgery, Ms. Mapp submitted additional FMLA leave paperwork to Ms. Tasha Toomer, CCHS's Human Resources Representative.
- 34. On June 1, 2017, Ms. Mapp had a follow up visit with her surgeon and her cast was taken off and she received an orthopedic boot. During that visit Ms. Mapp's surgeon gave her a note stating that she should not return to in-classroom work until

after her ankle was re-evaluated for its progress in healing. The re-evaluation would occur for another six weeks (*i.e.*, until approximately the week of July 17, 2017). During this six week time period, Ms. Mapp was available to work, but restricted from performing in-classroom work.

- 35. On or about June 5, 2017, Ms. Mapp telephoned Ms. Jones, and told her that her surgeon had extended her disability for approximately 6 more weeks, until approximately July 17, 2018. Ms. Jones responded that the six-week extension was acceptable to her. In addition, Ms. Mapp told Ms. Jones that she would like to return to work to perform light-duty work assignments at CCHS's headquarters (*i.e.*, a non-classroom assignment). Defendant could have accommodated Ms. Mapp with light-duty work, subject to the surgeon's restrictions that would have permitted to perform the essential functions of her job.
- 36. Ms. Jones acknowledged her request for a light-duty assignment but did not, in fact, provide Ms. Mapp with any light-duty assignment work.
- 37. On June 6, 2017, Ms. Mapp submitted her June 1, 2017, doctor's note during an in-person visit to Ms. Tommer, CCHS's Human Resources Representative.

 Ms. Toomer took Ms. Mapp's medical documentation from her and she left the CCHS's human resources office.
- 38. On July 17, 2017. Ms. Mapp had a follow up visit with her surgeon and was released her to return to work without any restrictions.
- 39. On August 15, 2017, Ms. Mapp visited CCHS's human resources office to meet with again with Ms. Toomer about the upcoming school year. At this meeting, Ms. Toomer informed Ms. Mapp that CCHS's had terminated her employment on June 30,

- 2017. Ms. Toomer told Ms. Mapp that the reason for her termination was her alleged "lack of communication about her disability status."
- 40. The meeting on August 15, 2017, was the first time that Ms. Mapp learned that her employment had been terminated on June 30, 2017. Upon information and belief, at no point had CCHS's sent Ms. Mapp any communications indicating that her employment had been terminated or that that her employment was in jeopardy for an alleged "lack of communication about her disability status."
- 41. Ms. Mapp had conscientiously endeavored to keep CCHS informed of her recovery from right ankle injury. In fact, she spoke on the phone with her supervisor on June 1, 2017, and met in-person with the human resources representative on June 6, 2017. In spite of these efforts, CCHS's terminated her employment on June 30, 2017, without explanation, warning, or notification of an alleged "lack of communication."
- 42. During the August 15, 2017, meeting with Ms. Toomer, Ms. Mapp plainly told Ms. Toomer that she had been in constant communication with her supervisor, Ms. Jones, in regards to her disability status. Nevertheless, CCHS refused to rescind its termination of Ms. Mapp's employment.
- Defendant refused to engage in a meaningful back and forth with Ms.

 Mapp towards a reasonable accommodation of her medical condition and, instead, ignoring her request for a light-duty work assignment and terminating her employment on June 30, 2017.
- 44. Defendant failed to accommodate Plaintiff in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 45. At all times material, Defendant was hostile to Plaintiff's disability and her need to utilize FMLA time.

46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff, Plaintiff sustained great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, lost wages, as well as emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering and other damages as described below.

III. CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I – AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT TITLE I CLAIM (42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq.)

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-46 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 48. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 49. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.
- 50. Defendant is an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.
- 51. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above.
- 52. Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.
- 53. Defendant failed to engage in a meaningful back and forth discussion after Plaintiff requested an accommodation for her disability.

- 54. Defendant failed to reasonably accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 55. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of wages, lost benefits, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 56. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
- 57. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT II – VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT (43 P.S. § 955)

- 58. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-57 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 59. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 60. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq.
- 61. Defendant is an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq.